

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

(1) **Summary of the Office Action**

In the Office Action dated November 17, 2005, the Examiner issued a requirement for restriction. More specifically, the Examiner expressed the view that the following inventions were distinct:

- I. Claim 1 - 5, drawn to a testing medium comprising a gas, classified in class 62, subclass 100.
- II. Claim 6 - 20, drawn to a method of using a gas, classified in class 73, subclass 1.16
- III. Claims 21 - 24, drawn to a method for cooling a test medium, classified in class 73, subclass 1.01.


Accordingly, the Examiner has requested that the Applicant restrict the application to one of Group I, II or III claims.

In response to the Examiner's request, the Applicant hereby elects Group II claims (claims 6 to 20) be examined in the present application.

If after reviewing this response, the Examiner believes that a telephone or personal interview would facilitate the resolution of any remaining matters, the undersigned attorney may be contacted at the number set forth hereinbelow.

Respectfully submitted,

January 17, 2006
Date


Armand M. Benitah
Registration No. 56,026
Telephone: (416) 868-3470
Facsimile: (416) 364-7813

FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP
Toronto Dominion Bank Tower
P.O. Box 20
Toronto-Dominion Centre
Toronto, Ontario, M5K 1N6
CANADA

AMB/ap